

U.S. Serial No. 09/882,080
Attorney Docket No. PHA 23,280A
Page 2 of 5

R E M A R K S

Claim 14 stands finally rejected under 35 USC 103 as being unpatentable over Sporer et al. in view of Andrew et al. and Freeman et al.

Previously, the Applicant has respectfully pointed out that the claims recite features neither taught nor suggested by the combination of Sporer et al. in view of Andrew et al. and Freeman et al. In particular, such features include "a tag inserter, for inserting marker tags into each picture of the compressed video stream which reference locations in memory where each picture of the video is stored".

In addressing the above feature in the previous rejection, the field index disclosed in column 9, lines 9-22, of Sporer et al. was being relied on. In response, the Applicant pointed out that in column 9, lines 23-26, Sporer et al. discloses that each entry 72 of the index is a bit offset into the bitstream of the of an MPEG header which proceeds the compressed picture. Based on this, the Applicant contended that the index of Sporer et al. cannot be reasonably interpreted as "referencing locations in memory where each picture of the video is stored", as required by the claims.

In order to maintain the present rejection, the Examiner is now relying on column 9, lines 9-26, and column 5, lines 36-41,

* N:\UserPublic\GR\PHA\23280a_116amd_7-27-05.DOC

U.S. Serial No. 09/882,080
Attorney Docket No. PHA 23,280A
Page 3 of 5

of Sporer et al.

First of all, as previously pointed out, column 9, lines 9-26, of Sporer et al. only discloses that each entry 72 of the index is a bit offset into the bitstream of the of an MPEG header which proceeds the compressed picture. Therefore, it is evident that the index of Sporer et al. is not "referencing locations in memory where each picture of the video is stored", as required by the claims.

Further, in column 5, lines 36-41, Sporer et al. discloses that the storage system typically stores data in data files accessible by other application programs through the filing system of an operating system. Based on this, it is evident that the data files in the storage system of Sporer et al. are being accessed by other applications programs. Nowhere in Sporer et al. is it disclosed that the index in column 9, lines 9-26, are being used to reference the data files in the storage system.

In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that Sporer et al. cannot be reasonably interpreted as disclosing "a tag inserter, for inserting marker tags into each picture of the compressed video stream which referencing locations in memory where each picture of the video is stored", as required by the claims. Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that this feature is distinguishable over Sporer et al. in view of Andrew et al. and Freeman et al.

N:\UserPublic\GR\PHA\23280a_116amd_7-27-05.DOC

U.S. Serial No. 09/882,080
Attorney Docket No. PHA 23,280A
Page 4 of 5

It is further respectfully submitted that the presently recited "a correlator for using the marker tags to correlate decompressed portions of the video to the location in memory of the corresponding compressed portions and for locating in the memory the nearest previously displayed anchor frame" is also neither taught nor suggested. In addressing this feature in the above rejection, paragraph 115, of Freeman et al. is being relied on.

In paragraph 15, Freeman et al. states that to be able to reconstruct full video images, the decompressor/decoder needs to have a minimum number of I, P and B frames. However, claim 14 requires "locating in the memory the nearest previously displayed anchor frame". In paragraph 115 of Freeman et al, such a feature is not disclosed. Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that the presently recited "correlator" is also distinguishable over Sporer et al. in view of Andrew et al. and Freeman et al.


In view of the above-described descriptions, it is respectfully submitted that the invention of claim 14 is not made obvious by Sporer et al. in view of Andrew et al. and Freeman et al. Therefore, reconsideration of this rejection is respectfully requested.

N:\UserPublic\GR\PHA\23280a_116amd_7-27-05.DOC

U.S. Serial No. 09/882,080
Attorney Docket No. PHA 23,280A
Page 5 of 5

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit any
overpayment or charge any fee (except the issue fee) to Account
No. 14-1270.

Respectfully submitted,

By 
Russell Gross, Reg. 40,007
Attorney
(914) 333-9631

N:\UserPublic\GR\PHA\23280a_116amd_7-27-05.DOC